From: Joan Wolff, Planning Consultant to City of Fullerton

Subject: Comment on Proposed Amendment to CEQA Guidelines

Thank you for your work on the CEQA streamlining provisions and your efforts at outreach to Local Agencies. The City of Fullerton views this as a positive step toward promoting more sustainable development and is looking forward to having new Guidelines in place to assist in implementing SB 226.

I found the workshop in LA yesterday to be very helpful, and appreciate the clarifications regarding how VMT is determined. As the VMT calculation is the basis for streamlined CEQA review, it is critical that local agencies have an understanding of how to find or develop this data.

I would also request a few additional clarifications, as below:

- 1. 15183.3 (e) (1) refers to "public office buildings" in defining the term "infill project", whereas Appendix M III C. refers to "office building". As the latter is a broader category, it would be preferable to use in both documents.
- 2. 15183.3 (b) (3) uses the term "general use designation", is this intended to mean the General Plan designation?
- 3. Please clarify if a mixed-use development could also be eligible for streamlined environmental review, and if so, under what conditions. Again, thank you for the opportunity to review this first draft. We look forward to seeing the next update.

Joan Wolff

Planning Consultant to City of Fullerton